

# 2020-21 Schoolwide Improvement Plan

## **Table of Contents**

School Demographics	3
Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	5
Needs Assessment	11
Planning for Improvement	17
Positive Culture & Environment	19
Budget to Support Goals	20

## **River City Science Academy At Mandarin**

10911 OLD ST AUGUSTINE RD, Jacksonville, FL 32257

www.rivercityscience.org

Demographics

## Principal: Alaaddin Akgul

Start Date for this Principal: 8/1/2016

<b>2019-20 Status</b> (per MSID File)	Active
School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	Combination School KG-8
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	K-12 General Education
2018-19 Title I School	No
2018-19 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)	40%
<b>2018-19 ESSA Subgroups Represented</b> (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups in orange are below the federal threshold)	
	2018-19: A (72%)
	2017-18: A (68%)
School Grades History	2016-17: A (70%)
	2015-16: No Grade
2019-20 School Improvement (SI) Info	rmation*
SI Region	Northeast
Regional Executive Director	Dustin Sims
Turnaround Option/Cycle	
Year	
Support Tier	NOT IN DA
ESSA Status	
As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Cod ere.	e. For more information, <u>click</u>

**School Board Approval** 

This plan is pending approval by the Duval County School Board.

#### SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F. This plan is also a requirement for Targeted Support and Improvement (TS&I) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CS&I) schools pursuant to 1008.33 F.S. and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

To be designated as TS&I, a school must have one or more ESSA subgroup(s) with a Federal Index below 41%. This plan shall be approved by the district. There are three ways a school can be designated as CS&I:

- 1. have a school grade of D or F
- 2. have a graduation rate of 67% or lower
- 3. have an overall Federal Index below 41%.

For these schools, the SIP shall be approved by the district as well as the Bureau of School Improvement.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or a graduation rate 67% or less. Districts may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing for schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at www.floridacims.org.

#### Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

### **Part I: School Information**

#### **School Mission and Vision**

#### Provide the school's mission statement

The mission of River City Science Academy is:

• To ensure all students reach their maximum potential in a diverse, structured and nurturing environment and to prepare students for a future in the areas of science, technology, engineering, and math.

#### **Provide the school's vision statement**

The vision of River City Science Academy is:

• To ensure that students become successful in their subsequent education and responsible and productive citizens in a rapidly changing world

• To apply innovative methods and interdisciplinary instruction and rigor, creating a stimulating and student-centered learning environment

• To model, educate and engage students in critical thinking and problem solving by teaching the whole child extending beyond the classroom

- To be a catalyst for change in STEM education
- To graduate every student college or career ready

#### School Leadership Team

#### Membership

Identify the name, email address, position title, and job duties/responsibilities for each member of the school leadership team.:

Name	Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
Alaaddin, Akgul	Principal	Principal- Alaaddin Akgul: Serves as the educational leader and chief executive of the school; responsible for direction of the instructional program, operation of the school plant, participates in staff and student activities along with community leadership. Sets the general tone of the school;coordinates parent groups and school advisory committee; represents the school to the community at large. Works with assistant principals, counselors and faculty to establish and maintain educational program. Prepares school budgets; approves expenditures within the school; responsible for the financial solvency of the school. Interviews, selects, supervises and evaluates all school personnel. Establishes rules and regulations for proper student conduct; maintains student discipline; prosecute discipline cases of a serious nature. Assesses strengths of the school, identifies its weaknesses and takes corrective action. Oversees maintenance of the school, beautification of the grounds, and general upkeep of the school plant. Identifies and provides in- service opportunities for faculty members. Establishes an effective school administration organization with clear lines of responsibility and with necessary delegation of authority. Makes periodic appraisals of student progress. Makes plans for the most effective use of curriculum materials, instructional supplies, equipment, building facilities, school grounds and community resources
Wakefield, Michele	Dean	Middle School Dean of Students- Michele Wakefield: Monitors lesson plans and provides feedback to department heads concerning Florida Standards; participates in student data collection; assists math teachers with providing best practices for their subject area; leads 'data chats' with all teachers sharing their individual student data and examining areas that need improvement; participates in classroom walkthroughs looking for areas to improve curriculum; participates in official teacher evaluations throughout the school year; ensures statewide assessments are scheduled properly and within prescribed time constraints; organizes student schedules during the summer to ensure proper classes for the student body.
Smith, Angela	Dean	Elementary Dean of Students- Angela Smith: Monitors lesson plans and provides feedback to department heads concerning Florida Standards; participates in

Name Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
	student data collection; assists math teachers with providing best practices for their subject area; leads 'data chats' with all teachers sharing their individual student data and examining areas that need improvement; participates in classroom walkthroughs looking for areas to improve curriculum; participates in official teacher evaluations throughout the school year; ensures statewide assessments are scheduled properly and within prescribed time constraints; organizes student schedules during the summer to ensure properclasses for the student body.
Colwell, Dean Kimberly	Dean of Discipline Middle School- Kimberly Colwell: Monitors the hallway/restroom along with security in the morning, during class time and during bell change throughout the day - ensuring that students are entering and exiting the cafeteria in a safe and orderly manner; Processes referrals and complete investigations of various student situations; Speaks with students that are having issues with other students; Run discipline report weekly to assess consequences; Monitors the cafeteria; After school/ Saturday detention-organizing and running detention; Building security- assists in walking the building and the campus grounds to ensure the safety of the school, students and staff; Conducts monthly fire drills in accordance with the district regulations; Issues lockers and handles any issues that may arise; Conducts periodic drills.
Albertson, Dean Jennifer	Dean of Discipline Elementary School- Jennifer Albertson: Monitors the hallway/restroom along with security in the morning, during class time and during bell change throughout the day - ensuring that students are entering and exiting the cafeteria in a safe and orderly manner; Processes referrals and complete investigations of various student situations; Speaks with students that are having issues with other students; Run discipline report weekly to assess consequences; Monitors the cafeteria; After school/ Saturday detention-organizing and running detention; Building security- assists in walking the building and the campus grounds to ensure the safety of the school, students and staff; Conducts monthly fire drills in accordance with the district regulations; Issues lockers and handles any issues that may arise; Conducts periodic drills.

Name	Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
Coach, RCSA	Other	Curriculum Support, Testing Coordinator, ESOL Coordinator- Heather Botelho: Provides curriculum information in classrooms, small groups,or individual settings: promotion requirements, EOC/ FSA score information, safety net/tutoring opportunities. Observations and helps teachers formulate and address goals related to their teaching practice, organizing and implementing lesson studies. Coordinates with teachers whose focus is to develop school-wide goals related to content matter and to work with teachers to address those school-wide goals. Updates school ELL program including providing WIDA test to ELL students. Organizes all state assessments.
Wright, Chanda	Teacher, ESE	ESE Coordinator- Chanda Wright: Participates in student data collection; pulls weekly administrative grade report, identifying problem areas and possible solutions; prepares yearly IEP reviews on all ESE students; ensures correct matrix coding for ESE students; attends monthly district Multi- disciplinary Response Team meetings; provides list of ESE students and their accommodations for classroom teachers.
Schrank, Alison	Guidance Counselor	Guidance Counselor- Assists and advises students about academic and personal decisions. Provide private counseling to students, assess the ability and potential in students, and coordinate with fellow professionals on student matters. Coordinators 504 meetings with parents and teachers. Organizes career, academic and life skill based opportunities to students. Trains staff on mental health.

**Demographic Information** 

#### Principal start date

Monday 8/1/2016, Alaaddin Akgul

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Highly Effective. *Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.* 32

**Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Effective.** *Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.* 17

**Total number of teacher positions allocated to the school** 55

#### **Demographic Data**

2020-21 Status	Active
(per MSID File)	Active
School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	Combination School KG-8
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	K-12 General Education
2018-19 Title I School	No
2018-19 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)	40%
<b>2018-19 ESSA Subgroups Represented</b> (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups in orange are below the federal threshold)	Asian Students Black/African American Students Economically Disadvantaged Students English Language Learners Hispanic Students Multiracial Students Students With Disabilities White Students
School Grades History	2018-19: A (72%) 2017-18: A (68%) 2016-17: A (70%) 2015-16: No Grade
2019-20 School Improvement	: (SI) Information*
SI Region	Northeast
<b>Regional Executive Director</b>	Dustin Sims
Turnaround Option/Cycle	
Year	
Support Tier	NOT IN DA
ESSA Status	
* As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Admir <u>click here</u> .	istrative Code. For more information,

### Early Warning Systems

#### **Current Year**

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

Indicator						Gra	ade	e L	ev	el				Total
indicator	Κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	IULAI
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on 2019 statewide ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on 2019 statewide Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

#### The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator		Grade Level													
indicator	Κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total	
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		

#### The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator		Grade Level													
Indicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total	
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		

#### Date this data was collected or last updated

Monday 8/10/2020

#### **Prior Year - As Reported**

# The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator					(	Gra	de Le	evel						Total
indicator	Κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	IULAI
Number of students enrolled	99	108	89	90	92	94	123	115	89	0	0	0	0	899
Attendance below 90 percent	10	14	17	10	7	8	15	4	0	0	0	0	0	85
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
Course failure in ELA or Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

#### The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator	Grade Level													
Indicator	Κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

#### The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator						Grade Level													
indicator	Κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total					
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0						
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0						

#### **Prior Year - Updated**

# The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator					(	Gra	de Le	evel						Tatal
indicator	Κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Number of students enrolled	99	108	89	90	92	94	123	115	89	0	0	0	0	899
Attendance below 90 percent	8	10	5	7	3	6	11	7	8	0	0	0	0	65
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	2	0	3	5	21	0	0	0	0	31
Course failure in ELA or Math	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	3	0	0	0	0	5
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

#### The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator	Grade Level											Tatal		
Indicator			2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1

#### The number of students identified as retainees:

Indiantar						Gra	ade	e L	ev	el				Tetal
Indicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	1	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

### Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis

#### School Data

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

School Grade Component		2019		2018				
School Grade Component	School	District	State	School	District	State		
ELA Achievement	73%	54%	61%	69%	51%	60%		
ELA Learning Gains	65%	56%	59%	58%	53%	57%		
ELA Lowest 25th Percentile	56%	53%	54%	53%	50%	52%		
Math Achievement	78%	57%	62%	84%	57%	61%		
Math Learning Gains	62%	57%	59%	66%	55%	58%		
Math Lowest 25th Percentile	68%	52%	52%	67%	50%	52%		
Science Achievement	85%	50%	56%	74%	52%	57%		
Social Studies Achievement	99%	76%	78%	72%	78%	77%		

E	EWS Indicators as Input Earlier in the Survey												
Indicator		G	rade L	.evel (	prior y	vear re	porte	d)		Total			
mulcator	Κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	IOLAI			

#### Grade Level Data

NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.

			ELA			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
03	2019	67%	51%	16%	58%	9%
	2018	74%	50%	24%	57%	17%
Same Grade C	omparison	-7%				
Cohort Com	parison					
04	2019	73%	52%	21%	58%	15%
	2018	69%	49%	20%	56%	13%
Same Grade C	omparison	4%				
Cohort Com	parison	-1%				
05	2019	81%	50%	31%	56%	25%
	2018	63%	51%	12%	55%	8%
Same Grade C	omparison	18%				
Cohort Com	parison	12%				
06	2019	72%	47%	25%	54%	18%
	2018	67%	44%	23%	52%	15%
Same Grade C	omparison	5%				
Cohort Com	parison	9%				
07	2019	66%	44%	22%	52%	14%
	2018	69%	41%	28%	51%	18%
Same Grade C	omparison	-3%				
Cohort Com	parison	-1%				
08	2019	76%	49%	27%	56%	20%
	2018					
Cohort Com	parison	7%				

			MATH			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
03	2019	81%	61%	20%	62%	19%
	2018	86%	59%	27%	62%	24%
Same Grade C	omparison	-5%				
Cohort Com	parison					
04	2019	94%	64%	30%	64%	30%
	2018	99%	60%	39%	62%	37%
Same Grade C	omparison	-5%				
Cohort Com	parison	8%				
05	2019	89%	57%	32%	60%	29%
	2018	75%	61%	14%	61%	14%
Same Grade C	omparison	14%				
Cohort Com	parison	-10%				
06	2019	81%	51%	30%	55%	26%
	2018	78%	42%	36%	52%	26%
Same Grade C	omparison	3%				
Cohort Com	parison	6%				
07	2019	56%	47%	9%	54%	2%
	2018	82%	50%	32%	54%	28%
Same Grade C	omparison	-26%				
Cohort Com	parison	-22%				
08	2019	48%	32%	16%	46%	2%
	2018					
Cohort Com	parison	-34%				

	SCIENCE												
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison							
05	2019	89%	49%	40%	53%	36%							
	2018	75%	56%	19%	55%	20%							
Same Grade C	omparison	14%											
Cohort Com	parison												
08	2019	60%	40%	20%	48%	12%							
	2018												
Cohort Com	parison	-15%											

	BIOLOGY EOC												
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State								
2019	100%	67%	33%	67%	33%								
2018													

		CIVI	CS EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019	99%	69%	30%	71%	28%
2018	73%	84%	-11%	71%	2%
Co	ompare	26%			
		HIST	ORY EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019					
2018					
		ALGE	BRA EOC	· · ·	
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019	64%	57%	7%	61%	3%
2018					
		GEOM	ETRY EOC	•	
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019	0%	61%	-61%	57%	-57%
2018					

### Subgroup Data

	2	019 S	СНОО	L GRAD	E COM	PONE	ΝΤS ΒΥ	SUB	GROUPS	5			
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2016-17	C & C Accel 2016-17		
SWD	36	56	52	41	50	60	73						
ELL	52	70	56	63	68	71	67						
ASN	83	63		70	56								
BLK	66	65	56	73	56	58	76	100	67				
HSP	72	73	57	80	68	78	83	100	73				
MUL	79	63		83	67		73						
WHT	74	63	54	79	62	63	89	100	59				
FRL	64	63	54	75	60	63	79	100	69				

	2	018 S	сноо	L GRAD	E COM	PONE	NTS BY	SUB	GROUPS	5	
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2015-16	C & C Accel 2015-16
SWD	12	52	75	64	67	64					
ELL	33	42	42	67	74						
ASN	58	70		100	80						
BLK	71	61		82	65						
HSP	63	63	50	71	61	62					
MUL	79	62		89	62						

2018 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS											
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	SCI	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2015-16	C & C Accel 2015-16
WHT	70	56	54	85	68	68	78	73			
FRL	63	56	56	81	69	75	75	78			

#### ESSA Data

This data has been updated for the 2018-19 school year as of 7/16/2019.

ESSA Federal Index	
ESSA Category (TS&I or CS&I)	N/A
OVERALL Federal Index – All Students	72
OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% All Students	NO
Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target	0
Progress of English Language Learners in Achieving English Language Proficiency	73
Total Points Earned for the Federal Index	719
Total Components for the Federal Index	10
Percent Tested	100%

### Subgroup Data

Students With Disabilities	
Federal Index - Students With Disabilities	53
Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 32%	0
English Language Learners	
Federal Index - English Language Learners	65
English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32%	0
Asian Students	
Federal Index - Asian Students	68
Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Black/African American Students	
Federal Index - Black/African American Students	69
Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO

Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32%

0

Hispanic Students	
Federal Index - Hispanic Students	76
Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Multiracial Students	
Federal Index - Multiracial Students	73
Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Native American Students	
Federal Index - Native American Students	
Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Pacific Islander Students	
Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students	
Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
White Students	
Federal Index - White Students	71
White Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Economically Disadvantaged Students	
Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students	70
Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 32%	0

#### Analysis

#### **Data Reflection**

Answer the following reflection prompts after examining any/all relevant school data sources (see guide for examples for relevant data sources).

# Which data component showed the lowest performance? Explain the contributing factor(s) to last year's low performance and discuss any trends

The lowest component was ELA Lowest 25th Percentile Growth. This group of students has large academic gaps due to various factors such as language acquisition in ESOL

students. This group of students faces challenges in reaching their grade level expectations but any growth is celebrated. Students worked in tutoring sessions and small group sessions to increase growth. Trends in inconsistent participation in extra resources provided to students was a contributor to the low growth performance.

#### Which data component showed the greatest decline from the prior year? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this decline

Our greatest decline based on Spring 2019 testing data was our 7th grade advance Math courses Math grade level comparison by 26%. The factors may include teacher experience, student commitment to acceleration programs and data tracking methods.

# Which data component had the greatest gap when compared to the state average? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this gap and any trends

Science achievement had the largest positive gap by 29%. This is due to experienced teacher, longer investment on student rigorous science course since 3rd grade as the test covers from grades 3,4, and 5th grade and effective data tracking tools.

# Which data component showed the most improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

Social Studies Achievement increased by 27%. Social Studies was part of our School Improvement Plan. Teachers will utilized team meetings, department meetings, PLCs, and resources, to create rigorous Civics lessons. We have a Dean of Middle School to coach with of purpose of assisting teachers in a more proactive approach to Civics.

# Reflecting on the EWS data from Part I (D), identify one or two potential areas of concern?

ELA Lowest 25th Percentile Growth

# Rank your highest priorities (maximum of 5) for schoolwide improvement in the upcoming school year

1. ELA Lowest 25th Percentile Growth

2. Middle School Math Acceleration Program Student Performance on Course Passing Rate

### Part III: Planning for Improvement

Areas of Focus:

#1. Instructional Practice specifically relating to ELA				
Area of Focus Description and Rationale:	Provide targeted, student-specific, data-driven approach to meeting the needs of our bottom quartile students, then academic outcomes for these students will improve.			
Measureable Outcome:	The measurable outcome will be to increase the learning gains in the bottom quartile students from 56% to 60% annually.			
Person responsible for monitoring outcome:	Angela Smith (asmith@rivercityscience.org)			
Evidence- based Strategy:	Teachers will utilize team meetings, department meetings, data chats, and elements of other professional development sessions to discuss progress, resources, challenges, etc. to meet the needs of the specific bottom quartile students. Achieve 3000, Iready, IXL and other progress monitoring data will drive the teachers instruction. Additionally, monthly data chats will held between the Coach and Reading/ELA teachers to engage discussion around the initial data that was collected, the initial action plan that was developed for these students, and the subsequent, less formal classroom data that helps to determine if adequate progress is being made toward the goals for these students.			
Rationale for Evidence- based Strategy:	Data chats with teachers will focus heavily on the implications that the data results have for the specific bottom-quartile students identified with each teacher.			
Action Steps to Implement				

1. Math, ELA, and Reading teachers will participate in monthly data chats to discuss data implications for each bottom quartile student

2. Reading/ELA teachers will receive training and coaching in the use and implementation of small

group instruction/small group interventions in their classroom.

3. Modified running record collection and analysis on all bottom quartile students

4. Provide training opportunity for all staff members through Kagan instructional practices

 Person
 Angela Smith (asmith@rivercityscience.org)

#2. Instructional Practice specifically relating to Math					
Area of Focus Description and Rationale:	Provide targeted, student-specific, data-driven approach to meeting the needs of our middle school Math acceleration students, then academic outcomes for these students will improve for 7th grade advanced level math courses (Algebra, and PreAlgebra)				
Measureable Outcome:	The measurable outcome will be increase by at least 10 points for 7th grade 7th grade performance advanced student performance will be targeted 64% or higher.				
Person responsible for monitoring outcome:	Michele Wakefield (mwakefield@rivercityscience.org)				
Evidence- based Strategy:	Teachers will utilize team meetings, department meetings, data chats, and elements of other professional development sessions to discuss progress, resources, challenges, etc. to meet the needs of the specific 7th and 8th grade math students. Iready, IXL and other progress monitoring data will drive the teachers instruction. Additionally, monthly data chats will held between the math teachers to engage discussion around the initial data that was collected, the initial action plan that was developed for these students, and the subsequent, less formal classroom data that helps to determine if adequate progress is being made toward the goals for these students.				
Rationale for Evidence- based Strategy:	Data chats with middle school math teachers will focus heavily on the implications that the data results have for the specific 7th and 8th grade math students identified with each teacher.				
Action Steps	Action Steps to Implement				

#### **Action Steps to Implement**

1. Middle math teachers will participate in monthly data chats to discuss data implications

2. Middle Math teachers will receive training and coaching in the use and implementation of small

group instruction/small group interventions in their classroom.

3. Modified running record collection and analysis

 Person
 Michele Wakefield (mwakefield@rivercityscience.org)

#### Additional Schoolwide Improvement Priorities

After choosing your Area(s) of Focus, explain how you will address the remaining schoolwide improvement priorities.

RCSA Mandarin participated in GEER program focus on achievement gaps in primary grades K-3 to close achievement gap due to distance education after spring break 2020. The top priority started to be in reading specifically Kindergarten student readiness.

### **Part IV: Positive Culture & Environment**

A positive school culture and environment reflects: a supportive and fulfilling environment, learning conditions that meet the needs of all students, people who are sure of their roles and relationships in student learning, and a culture that values trust, respect and high expectations. Consulting with various stakeholder groups to employ school improvement strategies that impact the positive school culture and environment are critical. Stakeholder groups more proximal to the school include teachers, students, and families of students, volunteers, and school board members. Broad stakeholder groups include early childhood providers, community colleges and universities, social services, and business partners.

Stakeholders play a key role in school performance and addressing equity. Consulting various stakeholder groups is critical in formulating a statement of vision, mission, values, goals, and employing school improvement strategies.

Describe how the school addresses building a positive school culture and environment ensuring all stakeholders are involved.

At River City Science Academy Mandarin, students and teachers are held to high standards for teaching and learning. We provide an educational environment that meets the needs of all learners by providing everything from progress monitoring plans to innovative, hands on lessons and advanced classes. Our staff works together as a team to provide this superior education to our students. To ensure our students can meet these high standards, they study different character traits each month. This character trait study helps our students meet high expectations in the classroom and preserve the positive school culture we have worked to improve over the years. As a school, we ask different stakeholder groups to complete surveys that we use to better our school. These stakeholder groups include students, parents, staff, and community members. We have a very active Parent Volunteer Organization that helps bring our community together by coordinating events that provide a positive fun atmosphere. We actively engage our business partners in being part of our school in any way they can. Our school strives to be a light in our area by providing learning opportunities in our buildings and in the community.

### Parent Family and Engagement Plan (PFEP) Link

The school completes a Parental Involvement Plan (PFEP), which is available at the school site.

	Part V: Budget					
1	III.A.	Areas of Focus: Instructional Practice: ELA	\$0.00			
2	III.A.	Areas of Focus: Instructional Practice: Math	\$0.00			
		Total:	\$0.00			